Separable solutions of *p*-Laplace type equations in cones and quasilinear problems on the sphere

Alessio Porretta Granada, 28/9/2011



 A. Porretta & L. Véron: Separable p-harmonic functions in a cone and related quasilinear equations on manifolds, J. Eur. Math. Soc. '09
 A. Porretta & L. Véron: Separable solutions of quasilinear Lane-Emden equations, preprint.

Motivation and setting of the problem

Let C_S be a cone in \mathbb{R}^N with vertex 0 and opening $S \subset S^{N-1}$, where S is a smooth subdomain on the sphere.

Pb: Construct positive solutions in C_S (vanishing on the lateral boundary) in the form of separable variables

$$u(x)=r^{-\alpha}\omega(\sigma)$$

for the p-harmonic equation

 $u \ge 0$, $-\Delta_p u := -\operatorname{div}\left(|Du|^{p-2}Du\right) = 0$ in $C_S \setminus \{0\}$

or the quasilinear Lane-Emden equation

$$u \ge 0$$
, $-\Delta_p u = u^q$, $q > p - 1$.

御 と く き と く き と … き

Motivation and setting of the problem

Let C_S be a cone in \mathbb{R}^N with vertex 0 and opening $S \subset S^{N-1}$, where S is a smooth subdomain on the sphere.

Pb: Construct positive solutions in C_S (vanishing on the lateral boundary) in the form of separable variables

$$u(x)=r^{-\alpha}\omega(\sigma)$$

for the p-harmonic equation

$$u \ge 0$$
, $-\Delta_p u := -\operatorname{div}\left(|Du|^{p-2}Du\right) = 0$ in $C_S \setminus \{0\}$

or the quasilinear Lane-Emden equation

$$u \geq 0$$
, $-\Delta_p u = u^q$, $q > p - 1$.

Motivation: study of isolated boundary singularities of solutions of

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_p v &= f(x,v) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ v &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \setminus \{x_0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (P. Tolksdorf '83)

There exists a unique $\alpha := \alpha_S > 0$ and a unique (up to an homothethy) positive $\omega \in C^1(\overline{S}) \cap C^2(S)$ such that $u = r^{-\alpha}\omega(\sigma)$ is p-harmonic in C_S (and zero on the lateral boundary).

- Similarly, there exists a unique α̃_S < 0 such that u = r^{-α}ω(σ) is p-harmonic (the regular solution).
- The value of α_s appears in Liouville type problems in cones ([Berestycki-Capuzzo Dolcetta-Nirenberg], [Fraas-Pinchover]).
- Unfortunately, the explicit value of α_S is rarely known.
 (Ex: p = 2, S = S₊ half sphere, then α_S = N 1)
 However, the role of α_S is important as that of an eigenvalue.

- 4 回 2 - 4 □ 2 - 4 □

 The value of α_S also plays a crucial role for the Lane-Emden equation (see [Bidaut Verón-Jazar-Véron]):

A necessary condition for \exists of sol. $u = r^{-\alpha} \omega(\sigma)$ of

 $-\Delta_p u = u^q$ in the cone C_S is that $\alpha = \frac{p}{q-(p-1)} < \alpha_S$

Note that this is a condition relating q and S (opening of the cone): $q-(p-1)>\frac{p}{\alpha_S}$

- ◆ □ ▶ ◆ 三 ▶ → 三 ● の Q ()

 The value of α_S also plays a crucial role for the Lane-Emden equation (see [Bidaut Verón-Jazar-Véron]):

A necessary condition for \exists of sol. $u = r^{-lpha} \, \omega(\sigma)$ of

 $-\Delta_p u = u^q$ in the cone C_S is that $\alpha = \frac{p}{q - (p-1)} < \alpha_S$

Note that this is a condition relating q and S (opening of the cone): $q - (p - 1) > \frac{p}{\alpha_S}$ (the condition is also sufficient in dimension N = 2) (when p = 2 and $S = S_+$ half sphere, optimal sufficient conditions are given in [Bidaut Verón-Ponce-Véron])

One can check: $u(x) = r^{-\alpha}\omega(\sigma)$ is *p*-harmonic in the cone C_S (and zero on the lateral boundary) if and only if (α, ω) satisfy

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(\left(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla\omega\right) = \\ = \alpha\left(\alpha(p-1)+p-N\right)\left(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\omega \\ \omega = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial S \end{cases}$$

where ∇ and *div* are covariant derivative and divergence operator on S^{N-1} .

(1)

伺い イヨト イヨト

One can check: $u(x) = r^{-\alpha}\omega(\sigma)$ is *p*-harmonic in the cone C_S (and zero on the lateral boundary) if and only if (α, ω) satisfy

$$\begin{cases} -div\left(\left(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla\omega\right) = \\ = \alpha\left(\alpha(p-1)+p-N\right)\left(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\omega \\ \omega = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial S \end{cases}$$
(1)

where ∇ and div are covariant derivative and divergence operator on S^{N-1} .

Tolksdorf' s result $\Rightarrow \exists !$ of (α, ω) sol. of a quasilinear pb. on the sphere

Depsite this problem is intrinsic on the sphere, the approach of P. Tolksdorf uses self-similarity arguments and properties of solutions of the (euclidean) *p*-Laplace equation.

伺下 イヨト イヨト

In Tolksdorf's proof, the existence of (α, ω) is deduced by constructing a self-similar sol. in the unit cone $(u(Rx) = R^{\alpha} u(x))$ and defining $\omega(\sigma) := \frac{u(R\sigma)}{R^{\alpha}}$. Uniqueness of α , ω is proved next using Harnack inequalities in the infinite cone (Praghmen-Lindelhof principle).

In Tolksdorf's proof, the existence of (α, ω) is deduced by constructing a self-similar sol. in the unit cone $(u(Rx) = R^{\alpha} u(x))$ and defining $\omega(\sigma) := \frac{u(R\sigma)}{R^{\alpha}}$. Uniqueness of α , ω is proved next using Harnack inequalities in the infinite cone (Praghmen-Lindelhof principle).

Pb: Is there an intrinsic construction of (α, ω) ? Does this problem have an independent meaning on S^{N-1} ? Note that Note that problem

$$\begin{cases} -div\left(\left(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla\omega\right) = \\ = \alpha\left(\alpha(p-1)+p-N\right)\left(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\omega \\ \omega = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial S \end{cases}$$

is a kind of "nonlinear eigenvalue problem" (invariant by dilations of ω) - but it is not variational (except if p = 2) !

When p = 2, the equation

$$-div\left((\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla\omega\right) = \\ = \alpha(\alpha(p-1)+p-N)(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\omega$$

is exactly an eigenvalue problem

$$-\Delta_g \omega = \alpha (\alpha + 2 - N) \omega \quad \text{in } S \subset S^{N-1}$$
 (2)

where Δ_g is the Laplace-Beltrami operator.

When p = 2, the equation

$$-div\left((\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla\omega\right) = \\ = \alpha(\alpha(p-1)+p-N)(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\omega$$

is exactly an eigenvalue problem

$$-\Delta_g \omega = \alpha (\alpha + 2 - N) \omega \quad \text{in } S \subset S^{N-1}$$
(2)

where Δ_g is the Laplace-Beltrami operator. Existence of a unique positive (and a unique negative) α follows from

 $\alpha(\alpha+2-N)=\lambda_{1,S}$

when $\lambda_{1,S}$ is the first eigenvalue on S.

When p = 2, the equation

$$-div\left((\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla\omega\right) = \\ = \alpha(\alpha(p-1)+p-N)(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\omega$$

is exactly an eigenvalue problem

$$-\Delta_g \omega = \alpha (\alpha + 2 - N) \omega \quad \text{in } S \subset S^{N-1}$$
(2)

where Δ_g is the Laplace-Beltrami operator. Existence of a unique positive (and a unique negative) α follows from

 $\alpha(\alpha+2-N)=\lambda_{1,S}$

when $\lambda_{1,S}$ is the first eigenvalue on S.

Note in the case p = 2:

 $\bullet \ \omega$ is precisely an eigenfunction

• α is not precisely an eigenvalue, but is obtained in terms of λ_1 (α solves an equation $F(\alpha, \lambda_1) = 0$) \exists of sol. $u(x) = r^{-\alpha}\omega(\sigma) \longrightarrow$ eigenvalue-type problems in S^{N-1} .

What if $p \neq 2$? Key point: set

$$v=-rac{1}{lpha}\,\ln\omega$$

Then the equation

$$-div\left(\left(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla\omega\right)=\\ =\alpha(\alpha(p-1)+p-N)(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\omega$$

is transformed into

$$-div\left(\left(1+|\nabla v|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla v\right)+\alpha(p-1)\left(1+|\nabla v|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}|\nabla v|^{2}\\ =-\left(\alpha(p-1)+p-N\right)\left(1+|\nabla v|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \text{ in } S$$

白 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

3

 \exists of sol. $u(x) = r^{-\alpha}\omega(\sigma) \longrightarrow$ eigenvalue-type problems in S^{N-1} .

What if $p \neq 2$? Key point: set

$$v = -rac{1}{lpha} \ln \omega$$

Then the equation

$$-div\left(\left(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla\omega\right)=\\ =\alpha(\alpha(p-1)+p-N)(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\omega$$

is transformed into

$$-div\left(\left(1+|\nabla v|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla v\right)+\alpha(p-1)\left(1+|\nabla v|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}|\nabla v|^{2}\\ =-\left(\alpha(p-1)+p-N\right)\left(1+|\nabla v|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \text{ in } S$$

Divide by $(1+|\nabla v|^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}$

御 と く ヨ と く ヨ と … ヨ

We see that $v = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \ln \omega$ solves

$$-\Delta_{g}v - (p-2)\frac{D^{2}v\nabla v \cdot \nabla v}{1+|\nabla v|^{2}} + \alpha(p-1)|\nabla v|^{2} = -(\alpha(p-1) + p - N)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > = □

We see that $v = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \ln \omega$ solves

$$-\Delta_{g}v - (p-2)\frac{D^{2}v\nabla v \cdot \nabla v}{1 + |\nabla v|^{2}} + \alpha(p-1)|\nabla v|^{2} = -(\alpha(p-1) + p - N)$$

- In the equation of v, the case p = 2 and $p \neq 2$ are very similar
- The number (α(p 1) + p N) has a role of "ergodic constant": given any α > 0, is there some (unique?) λ_α:

$$-\Delta_g v - (p-2)\frac{D^2 v \nabla v \cdot \nabla v}{1 + |\nabla v|^2} + \alpha (p-1) |\nabla v|^2 = -\lambda_\alpha$$

has a solution v ? Important: with the boundary condition $v \to +\infty$ on ∂S !

伺 と く き と く き とう

We see that $v = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \ln \omega$ solves

$$-\Delta_{g}v - (p-2)\frac{D^{2}v\nabla v \cdot \nabla v}{1 + |\nabla v|^{2}} + \alpha(p-1)|\nabla v|^{2} = -(\alpha(p-1) + p - N)$$

- In the equation of v, the case p = 2 and $p \neq 2$ are very similar
- The number (α(p − 1) + p − N) has a role of "ergodic constant": given any α > 0, is there some (unique?) λ_α:

$$-\Delta_g v - (p-2)\frac{D^2 v \nabla v \cdot \nabla v}{1 + |\nabla v|^2} + \alpha (p-1) |\nabla v|^2 = -\lambda_\alpha$$

has a solution v ? Important: with the boundary condition $v \to +\infty$ on ∂S !

• Recall that $\omega = e^{-\alpha v}$ and $u = r^{-\alpha} \omega$ is *p*-harmonic iff $\lambda_{\alpha} = (\alpha(p-1) + p - N).$

向下 イヨト イヨト

Theorem (P-V)

Let $S \subset S^{N-1}$ be a smooth bounded open subdomain. Then for any $\alpha > 0$ there exists a unique $\lambda_{\alpha} > 0$ such that the problem

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\Delta_{g} v - (p-2) \frac{D^{2} v \nabla v \cdot \nabla v}{1 + |\nabla v|^{2}} + \alpha (p-1) |\nabla v|^{2} = -\lambda_{\alpha} \\ v(\sigma) \to +\infty \quad \text{as } \sigma \to \partial S \end{cases}$$

admits a solution $v \in C^2(S)$, and v is unique up to an additive constant.

Furthermore, the map $\alpha \mapsto \lambda_{\alpha}$ is continuous, decreasing and $\lambda_{\alpha} \to \infty$ as $\alpha \to 0^+$.

Theorem (P-V)

Let $S \subset S^{N-1}$ be a smooth bounded open subdomain. Then for any $\alpha > 0$ there exists a unique $\lambda_{\alpha} > 0$ such that the problem

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\Delta_{g} v - (p-2) \frac{D^{2} v \nabla v \cdot \nabla v}{1 + |\nabla v|^{2}} + \alpha (p-1) |\nabla v|^{2} = -\lambda_{\alpha} \\ v(\sigma) \to +\infty \quad \text{as } \sigma \to \partial S \end{cases}$$

admits a solution $v \in C^2(S)$, and v is unique up to an additive constant.

Furthermore, the map $\alpha \mapsto \lambda_{\alpha}$ is continuous, decreasing and $\lambda_{\alpha} \to \infty$ as $\alpha \to 0^+$.

This result has an intrinsic independent interest:

• Our proof applies replacing S^{N-1} with a general N-1-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M,g).

• This result extends [J.M.Lasry-P.L.Lions '89] (where p = 2 and $S \subset \mathbb{R}^N$). It is new when $p \neq 2$ even in the euclidean case.

When p = 2, the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_g v + \alpha |\nabla v|^2 = -\lambda_\alpha \\ v(\sigma) \to +\infty \quad \text{as } \sigma \to \partial S \end{cases}$$

is related to a state constraint problem for the Brownian motion.

• This result extends [J.M.Lasry-P.L.Lions '89] (where p = 2 and $S \subset \mathbb{R}^N$). It is new when $p \neq 2$ even in the euclidean case.

When p = 2, the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_g \mathbf{v} + \alpha |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 = -\lambda_\alpha \\ \mathbf{v}(\sigma) \to +\infty \quad \text{ as } \sigma \to \partial S \end{cases}$$

is related to a state constraint problem for the Brownian motion.

This is a classical connection (through logarithmic tranform) between the first eigenvalue and the ergodic constant of stochastic control problems

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda_1 u & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases} \xrightarrow{v = -\ln u} \begin{cases} -\Delta v + |\nabla v|^2 = -\lambda_1 & \text{in } \Omega \\ v \to +\infty & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

So-called stochastic control interpretation of the first eigenvalue [C.J. Holland '77, J.M. Lasry-P.L.Lions '89] (see also Donsker-Varadhan, W.H. Fleming-McEneaney '95, W. H. Fleming-S.J. Sheu '97,) As a Corollary, we deduce Tolksdorf's result.Recall

$$v = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \ln \omega \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \omega = e^{-\alpha v}$$

We proved that, for any given $\alpha > 0$, there exists a unique $\lambda_{\alpha} > 0$:

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(\left(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla\omega\right)=\alpha\,\lambda_{\alpha}(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\omega\\ \omega=0 \quad \text{on } \partial S \end{cases}$$

Somehow, for any α the role of "eigenvalue" is played by λ_{α} .

A B N A B N

As a Corollary, we deduce Tolksdorf's result.Recall

$$v = -\frac{1}{lpha} \ln \omega \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \omega = e^{-lpha v}$$

We proved that, for any given $\alpha > 0$, there exists a unique $\lambda_{\alpha} > 0$:

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(\left(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla\omega\right)=\alpha\,\lambda_{\alpha}(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2})^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\omega\\ \omega=0 \quad \text{on } \partial S \end{cases}$$

Somehow, for any α the role of "eigenvalue" is played by λ_{α} . Tolksdorf's problem becomes:

> $u(x) = r^{-\alpha}\omega(\sigma)$ is *p*-harmonic in the cone if and only if $\lambda_{\alpha} = \alpha(p-1) + p - N$

But $\alpha \mapsto \lambda_{\alpha}$ is continuous, decreasing and unbounded....

Therefore, the mapping

$$\varphi(\alpha) := \lambda_{\alpha} - \alpha(p-1)$$

is continuous, decreasing and such that $\varphi(0) = +\infty$, $\varphi(+\infty) = -\infty$.

(4回) (日) (日)

3

Therefore, the mapping

$$\varphi(\alpha) := \lambda_{\alpha} - \alpha(p-1)$$

is continuous, decreasing and such that $\varphi(0) = +\infty$, $\varphi(+\infty) = -\infty$.

By continuity, the equation

$$\lambda_{lpha} - lpha(p-1) = Y$$

has a unique sol. for every Y.

When Y = p - N we get the unique $\alpha = \alpha_S > 0$ which makes $u = r^{-\alpha}\omega p$ -harmonic in the cone.

伺い イヨト イヨト

Therefore, the mapping

$$\varphi(\alpha) := \lambda_{\alpha} - \alpha(p-1)$$

is continuous, decreasing and such that $\varphi(0) = +\infty$, $\varphi(+\infty) = -\infty$.

By continuity, the equation

$$\lambda_{lpha} - lpha(p-1) = Y$$

has a unique sol. for every Y.

When Y = p - N we get the unique $\alpha = \alpha_S > 0$ which makes $u = r^{-\alpha}\omega p$ -harmonic in the cone.

Rmk: The monotonicity of the map $\alpha \mapsto \lambda_{\alpha}$ gives a typical monotonicity property of eigenvalues:

if S,
$$S' \subset S^{N-1}$$
, $S \subset S' \Rightarrow \alpha_S \ge \alpha_{S'}$

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Corollary (P-V)

There exists a unique $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\lambda_{\alpha} = \alpha(p-1) + p - N \tag{3}$$

As a consequence, for any subdomain S there exists a unique $\alpha_{S} > 0$ and a unique (up to dilation) positive $\omega \in C^{1}(\overline{S}) \cap C^{2}(S)$: $u(x) = r^{-\alpha}\omega(\sigma)$ is p-harmonic in the cone C_{S} .

Corollary (P-V)

There exists a unique $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\lambda_{\alpha} = \alpha(p-1) + p - N \tag{3}$$

As a consequence, for any subdomain S there exists a unique $\alpha_{S} > 0$ and a unique (up to dilation) positive $\omega \in C^{1}(\overline{S}) \cap C^{2}(S)$: $u(x) = r^{-\alpha}\omega(\sigma)$ is p-harmonic in the cone C_{S} .

Remarks:

• As in the case p = 2: ω is an eigenfunction, α is not exactly an eigenvalue but a solution of an equation $F(\alpha, \lambda_{\alpha}) = 0$ where λ_{α} is an eigenvalue.

• When p = 2 we have $\lambda_{\alpha} = \frac{\lambda_1}{\alpha}$ and (3) is the algebraic equation $\alpha(\alpha + 2 - N) = \lambda_{1,S}$.

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

The proof of this Theorem stands on the following steps:

• As is typical for ergodic-type problems, we start from

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon \, \mathbf{v}_{\varepsilon} - \Delta_{g} \mathbf{v}_{\varepsilon} - (p-2) \frac{D^{2} \mathbf{v}_{\varepsilon} \nabla \mathbf{v}_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_{\varepsilon}}{1 + |\nabla \mathbf{v}_{\varepsilon}|^{2}} + \alpha (p-1) |\nabla \mathbf{v}_{\varepsilon}|^{2} = 0\\ \mathbf{v}_{\varepsilon}(\sigma) \to +\infty \quad \text{ as } \sigma \to \partial S \end{cases}$$

and then we let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

What happens in such models is that

- v_{ε} has a complete blow-up as $\varepsilon
ightarrow 0$

On the other hand,

 $-\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon}$ remains bounded (locally) by max. principle

 $-|\nabla v_\varepsilon|$ remains locally bounded due to the barrier effect of the absorption term.

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Therefore we have

$$\varepsilon \nabla v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$$
 locally uniformly,

hence, up to subsequences,

 $\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon}$ converges to a constant λ_{lpha}

If we fix $\sigma_0 \in S$, then, locally uniformly,

 $v_{\varepsilon}(\cdot) - v_{\varepsilon}(\sigma_0)$ converges to a function v

and v solves

$$\lambda_{\alpha} - \Delta_{g} v - (p-2) \frac{D^{2} v \nabla v \cdot \nabla v}{1 + |\nabla v|^{2}} + \alpha (p-1) |\nabla v|^{2} = 0$$

▲ 臣 ▶ | ▲ 臣 ▶ | |

Therefore we have

$$\varepsilon \nabla v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$$
 locally uniformly,

hence, up to subsequences,

arepsilon v $_{arepsilon}$ converges to a constant λ_{lpha}

If we fix $\sigma_0 \in S$, then, locally uniformly,

 $v_{\varepsilon}(\cdot) - v_{\varepsilon}(\sigma_0)$ converges to a function v

and v solves

$$\lambda_{\alpha} - \Delta_{g} v - (p-2) \frac{D^{2} v \nabla v \cdot \nabla v}{1 + |\nabla v|^{2}} + \alpha (p-1) |\nabla v|^{2} = 0$$

with the boundary behaviour $v \to +\infty$ on ∂S

★ Ξ ▶

Key technical points:

• compactness relies on interior gradient estimates:

For every compact subset $S' \subset \subset S$, we have

$$\|
abla v_{arepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(S')} \leq rac{K}{\mathrm{dist}(S',S)}$$

To get the gradient bound, we use the (intrinsic) Weitzenböck formula

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta_g |\nabla v|^2 = \|D^2 v\|^2 + \nabla(\Delta_g v) \cdot \nabla v + Ricc_g(\nabla v, \nabla v)$$

and the classical Bernstein's method (max. principle applied to $|\nabla v|^2$) • Uniqueness of (λ_{α}, v)

[Rmk: Uniqueness of (λ_{α}, v) implies that the convergence holds for the whole sequence v_{ε}]

• Uniqueness of (λ_{α}, v)

[Rmk: Uniqueness of (λ_{α}, v) implies that the convergence holds for the whole sequence v_{ε}]

Two main ingredients:

(i) the strong maximum principle

$$\mathsf{Rmk:} \ A(v) := -\Delta_g v - (p-2) \frac{D^2 v \nabla v \cdot \nabla v}{1 + |\nabla v|^2} \quad \text{is nondegenerate}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A(v_1) - A(v_2) + \alpha \left[|\nabla v_1|^2 - |\nabla v_2|^2 \right] &= -(\lambda_\alpha^1 - \lambda_\alpha^2) \\ \lambda_\alpha^1 &\neq \lambda_\alpha^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad v_1 - v_2 \equiv \text{const.} \end{aligned}$$

• Uniqueness of (λ_{α}, v)

[Rmk: Uniqueness of (λ_{α}, v) implies that the convergence holds for the whole sequence v_{ε}

Two main ingredients:

(i) the strong maximum principle

$$\mathsf{Rmk:} \ A(v) := -\Delta_g v - (p-2) \frac{D^2 v \nabla v \cdot \nabla v}{1 + |\nabla v|^2} \quad \text{is nondegenerate}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{v}_1) - \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{v}_2) + \alpha \left[|\nabla \mathbf{v}_1|^2 - |\nabla \mathbf{v}_2|^2 \right] &= -(\lambda_\alpha^1 - \lambda_\alpha^2) \\ \lambda_\alpha^1 &\neq \lambda_\alpha^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2 \equiv \text{const.} \end{aligned}$$

 $\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \text{uniqueness of } \lambda_{\alpha} \\ \text{uniqueness (up to an additive constant)} \\ \text{of the boundary blow-up solution } v. \end{cases}$

(ii) Detailed estimates on the boundary blow-up of v, ∇v in order to handle the difference of solutions near the boundary.

< ∃ >

(ii) Detailed estimates on the boundary blow-up of v, ∇v in order to handle the difference of solutions near the boundary.

In particular, we need precise gradient estimates:

$$\frac{\gamma_1}{\operatorname{dist}(\sigma,\partial\Sigma)} \leq |\nabla v(\sigma)| \leq \frac{\gamma_2}{\operatorname{dist}(\sigma,\partial\Sigma)}$$

which we prove using $C^{1,\alpha}$ estimates up to the boundary for *p*-Laplace type equations.

Properties of the mapping $\alpha \mapsto \lambda_{\alpha}$ follow from the construction of the couple (λ_{α}, ν) sol. of the ergodic problem

$$-\Delta_g v - (p-2)rac{D^2 v
abla v \cdot
abla v}{1+|
abla v|^2} + lpha(p-1)|
abla v|^2 = -\lambda_lpha$$

one checks that

- $\alpha \mapsto \lambda_{\alpha}$ is decreasing (since $\lambda_{\alpha} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon v_{\varepsilon}$)
- $\alpha \mapsto \lambda_{\alpha}$ is continuous (stability of the ergodic constant constant is consequence of its uniqueness)
- we have $\lambda_{\alpha} \to +\infty$ when $\alpha \to 0$.

(B) (B) (B)

Comments

- Our proof of Tolksdorf's result is not easier. However we provide an intrinsic interpretation of the unique couple (α_S, ω_S) such that $u(r, \sigma) = r^{-\alpha}\omega(\sigma)$ is *p*-harmonic in the cone C_S , and a new construction of (α, ω) (valid in general manifolds).
- The *log*-transform reminds of the useful connection between the first eigenvalue and the ergodic constant of stochastic control problems

Our approach suggests that in some cases it can be useful to embed eigenvalue problems into the larger family of ergodic problems

• Our construction can be useful to understand the role of α_S in the Lane-Emden problem.

- 4 回 2 - 4 □ 2 - 4 □

 $-\Delta_p u = u^q$, in the cone C_S , with q > p - 1.

A positive (singular) solution $u = r^{-\alpha} \omega(\sigma)$ exists iff (α, ω) satisfy the quasilinear pb. on the sphere:

$$-\operatorname{div}_{g}\left(\left(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2}\right)^{p/2-1}\nabla\omega\right)=$$
$$=\alpha(\alpha(p-1)+p-N)(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2})^{p/2-1}\omega+\omega^{q}$$

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

 $-\Delta_p u = u^q$, in the cone C_S , with q > p - 1.

A positive (singular) solution $u = r^{-\alpha} \omega(\sigma)$ exists iff (α, ω) satisfy the quasilinear pb. on the sphere:

$$-\operatorname{div}_{g}\left(\left(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2}\right)^{p/2-1}\nabla\omega\right)=$$
$$=\alpha(\alpha(p-1)+p-N)(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2})^{p/2-1}\omega+\omega^{q}$$

Recall the necessary conditions: $\alpha = \frac{p}{q-(p-1)}$ and $\alpha < \alpha_S$

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

 $-\Delta_p u = u^q$, in the cone C_S , with q > p - 1.

A positive (singular) solution $u = r^{-\alpha} \omega(\sigma)$ exists iff (α, ω) satisfy the quasilinear pb. on the sphere:

$$-\operatorname{div}_{g}\left(\left(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2}\right)^{p/2-1}\nabla\omega\right)=$$
$$=\alpha(\alpha(p-1)+p-N)(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2})^{p/2-1}\omega+\omega^{q}$$

Recall the necessary conditions: $\alpha = \frac{p}{q-(p-1)}$ and $\alpha < \alpha_S$

But our construction of α_S implies:

$$\alpha < \alpha_{S} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad (\alpha(p-1) + p - N) < \lambda_{\alpha}$$

where λ_{α} is the unique "eigenvalue":

$$-div_g\left(\left(\alpha^2\omega^2+|\nabla\omega|^2\right)^{p/2-1}\nabla\omega\right)=\alpha\lambda_\alpha(\alpha^2\omega^2+|\nabla\omega|^2)^{p/2-1}\omega$$

□ > < □ > < □ > < □</p>

Observe the analogy with the euclidean case:

$$\exists$$
 pos. sol. of $-\Delta_p u = \lambda u^{p-1} + u^q \Rightarrow \lambda < \lambda_1(-\Delta_p, \Omega)$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Observe the analogy with the euclidean case:

$$\exists$$
 pos. sol. of $-\Delta_p u = \lambda u^{p-1} + u^q \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lambda < \lambda_1(-\Delta_p, \Omega)$

Theorem

Assume that
$$\alpha = \frac{p}{q-(p-1)} < \alpha_S$$
.
(i) If $q < \frac{(N-1)p}{N-1-p} - 1$ (critical exponent in dim. $N - 1$), then \exists a sol. of

$$-div_g \left((\alpha^2 \omega^2 + |\nabla \omega|^2)^{p/2 - 1} \nabla \omega \right) =$$

= $\alpha (\alpha (p - 1) + p - N) (\alpha^2 \omega^2 + |\nabla \omega|^2)^{p/2 - 1} \omega + \omega^q$

(hence \exists a separable sol. of $-\Delta_p u = u^q$ in the cone C_S).

(ii) If S is "star shaped with respect to the North pole", then there is no solution when $q = \frac{(N-1)p}{N-1-p} - 1$.

• For the nonexistence part, we use a Pohozaev type identity on the sphere.

(similar to the case p = 2 in [Bidaut Véron-Ponce-Véron]).

• For the nonexistence part, we use a Pohozaev type identity on the sphere.

(similar to the case p = 2 in [Bidaut Véron-Ponce-Véron]).

Rmk: we only conclude nonexistence for the critical value $q = \frac{(N-1)p}{N-1-p} - 1$ (if p = 2 nonexistence holds for any q supercritical)

Pohozaev identity takes the form:

$$\begin{split} \int_{\partial S} |\omega_{\nu}|^{p} \phi_{\nu} dS &= A \int_{S} \omega^{q+1} \phi \, d\sigma \\ &+ B \int_{S} \gamma_{\omega} |\nabla' \omega|^{2} \phi \, d\sigma + C \int_{S} \gamma_{\omega} \omega^{2} \phi \, d\sigma, \\ \text{where } \gamma_{\omega} &= (\alpha^{2} \omega^{2} + |\nabla' \omega|^{2})^{p-2/2} \text{ and } A, B, C \text{ depend on } \\ \alpha, q, p, N. \end{split}$$

• For the nonexistence part, we use a Pohozaev type identity on the sphere.

(similar to the case p = 2 in [Bidaut Véron-Ponce-Véron]).

Rmk: we only conclude nonexistence for the critical value $q = \frac{(N-1)p}{N-1-p} - 1$ (if p = 2 nonexistence holds for any q supercritical)

Pohozaev identity takes the form:

$$\int_{\partial S} |\omega_{\nu}|^{p} \phi_{\nu} dS = A \int_{S} \omega^{q+1} \phi \, d\sigma$$
$$+ B \int_{S} \gamma_{\omega} |\nabla' \omega|^{2} \phi \, d\sigma + C \int_{S} \gamma_{\omega} \omega^{2} \phi \, d\sigma,$$

where $\gamma_{\omega} = (\alpha^2 \omega^2 + |\nabla' \omega|^2)^{p-2/2}$ and A, B, C depend on α, q, p, N . Strange miracle:

q critical
$$\iff A = B = C = 0$$

・回 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ …

 For the existence part, we use topological degree (as in [DeFiguereido-Lions-Nussbaum], [Quaas-Sirakov]) and a priori estimates for *p*-Laplace Lane-Emden equations ([Serrin-Zou], [Zou], with similar method as [Gidas-Spruck]).

Recall that we are in a non-variational situation (differently than the case p = 2, where one uses a Mountain Pass argument).

 For the existence part, we use topological degree (as in [DeFiguereido-Lions-Nussbaum], [Quaas-Sirakov]) and a priori estimates for *p*-Laplace Lane-Emden equations ([Serrin-Zou], [Zou], with similar method as [Gidas-Spruck]).

Recall that we are in a non-variational situation (differently than the case p = 2, where one uses a Mountain Pass argument).

In the topological degree argument, the role of λ_{α} as eigenvalue is important. Recall: we look for solutions of

$$-div_{g}\left(\left(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2}\right)^{p/2-1}\nabla\omega\right)=$$
$$=\alpha\underbrace{\left(\alpha(p-1)+p-N\right)}_{<\lambda_{\alpha}}\left(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2}\right)^{p/2-1}\omega+\omega^{q}$$

Roughly speaking, the degree homotopy takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}_{g}\left((\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2})^{p/2-1}\nabla\omega\right) &=\\ &=\alpha(c_{\alpha}+t)(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2})^{p/2-1}\omega+(\omega+t)^{q}\end{aligned}$$

where $c_{\alpha} = \alpha(p-1) + p - N$. Recall that we are in the range

 $\alpha < \alpha_{S} \iff c_{\alpha} < \lambda_{\alpha}$

(本間) (本語) (本語) (語)

Roughly speaking, the degree homotopy takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}_{g}\left((\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2})^{p/2-1}\nabla\omega\right) &=\\ &=\alpha(c_{\alpha}+t)(\alpha^{2}\omega^{2}+|\nabla\omega|^{2})^{p/2-1}\omega+(\omega+t)^{q} \end{aligned}$$

where $c_{\alpha} = \alpha(p-1) + p - N$. Recall that we are in the range

 $\alpha < \alpha_{S} \iff c_{\alpha} < \lambda_{\alpha}$

- The "eigenvalue" meaning of λ_{α} implies no solution for *t* small and ω small \Rightarrow index=1 on B_r for small *r*.

-A priori estimates +no solution for t large \Rightarrow index=0 on B_R for large R.

Hence, there exists a solution on $B_R \setminus B_r$.

A K K B K K B K K B