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In Quantum Mechanics, any state of a particle in 3-dimensional space can be described by a function

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi(x, t) \in \mathbb{C}, \quad(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R} \rightsquigarrow \text { Wave Function } \\
|\psi|^{2} d x
\end{gathered}
$$

is the probability that the coordinates of the particle associated to $\psi$ will find their values in the element $d x$.

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\psi|^{2} d x=1 \quad \text { Normalization Equation }
$$
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## The Schrödinger equation

Case of a Single Particle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\imath \hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \Delta \psi+Q(x) \psi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{SE}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m>0, \hbar$ is the Planck constant and $Q: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the time independent potential energy of the particle at position $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$.

## The Schrödinger equation

Case of Many Particles
$\imath \hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \Delta \psi+Q(x) \psi-|\psi|^{p-1} \psi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, t \in \mathbb{R}$
where $m>0, \hbar$ is the Planck constant and $Q: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the time independent potential energy of the particle at position $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, p>1$.
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we do not assume that the electromagnetic field is assigned.
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## Unknowns:

i) The wave function $\psi$;
ii) The gauge potentials

$$
\mathbf{A}: \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}, \quad \phi: \mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

related to $\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}$ by the Maxwell equations

$$
\mathbf{E}:=-\nabla \phi-\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}, \quad \mathbf{H}:=\nabla \times \mathbf{A} .
$$
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## Standing Waves interacting with a purely electrostatic field

We choose:
1.

$$
\psi(x, t)=u(x) e^{i \omega t}, \quad u(x) \in \mathbb{R}, \omega>0 .
$$

that is called standing wave.
Indeed, these solutions correspond to static situations in the sense that the density $|\psi(x, t)|^{2}=u^{2}(x)$ does not change in time.
2. $\mathbf{A}=0$.
3. $\phi=\phi(x)$.

## Schrödinger-Maxwell system

Then we deal with the following system of equations:
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## Schrödinger-Maxwell system

Then we deal with the following system of equations:

$$
\begin{cases}-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \Delta u+V(x) u+K(x) \phi u=|u|^{p-1} u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}  \tag{SP}\\ -\Delta \phi=K(x) u^{2} & x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}\end{cases}
$$

where $K: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive density charge and $V(x)=Q(x)+\hbar \omega$
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If a particle of mass $m>0$ moves in its own gravitational field

$$
\imath \hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}=-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \Delta \psi-\left(\frac{1}{|x|} *|\psi|^{2}\right) \psi-|\psi|^{p-1} \psi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, t \in \mathbb{R}
$$
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## Schrödinger-Newton system

If we look for standing waves $\psi(x, t)=u(x) e^{i \omega t}$ then we have to deal with the following system of equations:

$$
\begin{cases}-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \Delta u+\omega \hbar u-Q u=|u|^{p-1} u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}  \tag{SN}\\ -\Delta Q=u^{2} & x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}\end{cases}
$$

## Notations:
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(u, v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}[\nabla u \nabla v+u v] d x ; \quad\|u\|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left[|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}\right] d x
$$

- $D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ with respect to the norm

$$
\|u\|_{D^{1,2}}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x .
$$
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## Variational Framework

It is well-known that, for all $u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, the Poisson equation

$$
-\Delta \phi=K(x) u^{2}
$$

has a unique solution $\phi_{u} \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ given by

$$
\phi_{u}(x)=\frac{1}{|x|} * K u^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{K(y)}{|x-y|} u^{2}(y) d y
$$

Hence, inserting $\phi_{u}$ into the first equation of $(\mathcal{S P})$, we deal with the equivalent problem

$$
-\epsilon^{2} \Delta u+V(x) u+K(x) \phi_{u} u=|u|^{p-1} u
$$

Hence, inserting $\phi_{u}$ into the first equation of $(\mathcal{S P})$, we deal with the equivalent problem

$$
-\epsilon^{2} \Delta u+V(x) u+K(x) \phi_{u} u=|u|^{p-1} u
$$

## Remark

$u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is a solution of $\left(\mathcal{S P}{ }^{\prime}\right)$
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$$
-\epsilon^{2} \Delta u+V(x) u+K(x) \phi_{u} u=|u|^{p-1} u
$$

## Remark

$u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is a solution of $\left(\mathcal{S P ^ { \prime }}\right) \Longrightarrow\left(u, \phi_{u}\right) \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \times D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is a solution of (SP)
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## Definition

A solution $u_{\epsilon}$ of $\left(\mathcal{S P ^ { \prime }}\right)$ concentrates at $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}($ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0)$ provided

$$
\forall \delta>0, \quad \exists \epsilon_{0}>0, R>0: u_{\epsilon}(x) \leq \delta, \forall\left|x-x_{0}\right| \geq \epsilon R, \epsilon<\epsilon_{0}
$$

## Assumptions

(V1) $V \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}\right), V$ and its derivatives are uniformly bounded.
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## Assumptions

(V1) $V \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}\right), V$ and its derivatives are uniformly bounded.
(V2) $\inf _{\mathbb{R}^{3}} V>0$.
(V3) There exists $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that $\nabla V\left(x_{0}\right)=0$.
(K1) $K \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}\right), K$ and its derivatives are uniformly bounded.
(K2) $K \geq 0$.

## Theorem (I. lanni, G. V.)

Let $p \in(1,5)$ and (V1), (V2), (V3), (K1), (K2) hold. In addition, assume that
(V4) $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a non-degenerate local minimum or maximum for $V$, namely $D^{2} V\left(x_{0}\right)$ is either positive or negative-definite.
Then for $\epsilon>0$ small, $\left(\mathcal{S P}^{\prime}\right)$ has a solution $u_{\epsilon}$ that concentrates at $x_{0}$.
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Let for simplicity $x_{0}=0$ and $V(0)=1$.
In $\left(\mathcal{S P}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ we make a change of variable $x \longmapsto \epsilon x$, then we deal with the problem

$$
-\Delta u+V(\epsilon x) u+\epsilon^{2} K(\epsilon x) \phi_{\epsilon, u} u=|u|^{p-1} u, \quad\left(\mathcal{S P}_{\epsilon}\right)
$$

The solutions of $\left(\mathcal{S P} \mathcal{P}_{\epsilon}\right)$ are the critical points of the $C^{2}$ - functional $I_{\epsilon}: H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\epsilon}(u)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+V(\epsilon x) u^{2}\right) d x+\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(\epsilon x) \phi_{\epsilon, u} u^{2} d x \\
& -\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{p+1} d x
\end{aligned}
$$
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To prove the concentration result we have used a Perturbation Method, due to Ambrosetti and Badiale.
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In other words we consider the functional $I_{\epsilon}$ as
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I_{\epsilon}(u)=I_{0}(u)+G(\epsilon, u)
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where the unperturbed functional $I_{0}(u)$, obtained for $\epsilon=0$, is

$$
I_{0}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{p+1} d x
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## Outline of the proofs

To prove the concentration result we have used a Perturbation Method, due to Ambrosetti and Badiale.
In other words we consider the functional $I_{\epsilon}$ as

$$
I_{\epsilon}(u)=I_{0}(u)+G(\epsilon, u)
$$

where the unperturbed functional $I_{0}(u)$, obtained for $\epsilon=0$, is

$$
I_{0}(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}|u|^{p+1} d x
$$

while the perturbation is

$$
G(\epsilon, u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}[V(\epsilon x)-1] u^{2} d x+\frac{\epsilon^{2}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(\epsilon x) \phi_{\epsilon, u} u^{2} d x
$$

The critical points of the unperturbed problem are the solutions of the well-known problem

$$
-\Delta u+u=|u|^{p-1} u, \quad u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)
$$

which has a positive, ground state, solution $U \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, radially symmetric about the origin, unique up to translations, decaying exponentially, together its derivatives, as $|x| \rightarrow+\infty$.

## Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction

We define the manifold of "approximate" solutions of the problem: fix $\bar{\xi}>0$ and let

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{\epsilon}:=\left\{z_{\xi}:=U(\cdot-\xi) \quad: \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \quad|\xi| \leq \bar{\xi}\right\}
$$

## Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction

We define the manifold of "approximate" solutions of the problem: fix $\bar{\xi}>0$ and let

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{\epsilon}:=\left\{z_{\xi}:=U(\cdot-\xi) \quad: \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \quad|\xi| \leq \bar{\xi}\right\}
$$

Then for every $z_{\xi} \in \mathcal{Z}_{\epsilon}$, we define $W=\left(T_{z_{\xi}} \mathcal{Z}_{\epsilon}\right)^{\perp}$ and $P: H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \rightarrow W$ the orthogonal projection onto $W$. Our approach is to find a pair $z_{\xi} \in \mathcal{Z}_{\epsilon}$, $w \in W$ such that $I_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(z_{\xi}+w\right)=0$, or equivalently:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P l_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(z_{\xi}+w\right)=0, \\
(I d-P) I_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(z_{\xi}+w\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

The fist equation above is called auxiliary equation, and the second one receives the name of bifurcation equation.

## Abstract Result

## Proposition

Consider a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let $z \in \mathcal{H}$ and $T \in C^{1}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$. Suppose that for some fixed $\delta>0$, there holds:
(A1) $\|T(z)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \delta$;
(A2) $T^{\prime}(z): \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is invertible and $\left\|\left(T^{\prime}(z)\right)^{-1}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq c, c>0$;
Take $\rho \geq 2 c$ and define:

$$
B=\left\{u \in \mathcal{H}:\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \rho \delta\right\} .
$$

We further assume that
(A3) $\left\|T^{\prime}(z+u)-T^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}<\frac{1}{\rho}, u \in B$.
Then there exists a unique $u \in B$ such that $T(z+u)=0$.

## The auxiliary Equation

First we find a solution $w \in W$ of the auxiliary equation proving - $\left\|P I_{\epsilon}^{\prime}\left(z_{\xi}\right)\right\| \leq C \epsilon^{2}, z_{\xi} \in \mathcal{Z}_{\epsilon} ;$
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Then there exists a solution $w=w_{\epsilon, z} \in W$ such that $\left\|w_{\epsilon, z}\right\| \leq C \epsilon^{2}$.
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## The bifurcation equation

Now we find a solution for the bifurcation equation among the set of solutions of the auxiliary equation, which is:

$$
\overline{\mathcal{Z}}=\left\{z_{\xi}+w_{\epsilon, z_{\xi}}: z_{\xi} \in \mathcal{Z}_{\xi}\right\} .
$$

By the Implicit Function Theorem it is easy to check that $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a $C^{1}$ manifold. Moreover, it is well-known that $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a natural constraint for $I_{\epsilon}$ for $\epsilon$ small. In other words, critical points of $\left.I_{\epsilon}\right|_{\overline{\mathcal{Z}}}$ are solutions of the bifurcation equation, and hence solutions of $\left(\mathcal{S P}{ }_{\epsilon}\right)$.
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## The reduced functional

So, let us define the reduced functional as the restriction of the functional $I_{\epsilon}$ to the natural constraint $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}$, namely $\Phi_{\epsilon}: B_{\bar{\xi}}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\Phi_{\epsilon}(\xi)=I_{\epsilon}\left(z_{\xi}+w_{\epsilon, z_{\xi}}\right)
$$

We look for critical points of $\Phi_{\epsilon}$. Using the information on $\left\|w_{\epsilon, z_{\xi}}\right\|$, we will be able to find an expansion of $\Phi_{\epsilon}(\xi)$.

## Expansion in the non-degenerate case

## Proposition (non-degenerate case)

$$
\Phi_{\epsilon}(\xi)=C_{0}+\epsilon^{2} \Gamma_{1}(\xi)+o\left(\epsilon^{2}\right), \quad \text { for }|\xi| \leq \bar{\xi}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{0} & =I_{0}(U) ; \\
\Gamma_{1}(\xi) & =C_{1}+C_{2}\left\langle D^{2} V(0) \xi, \xi\right\rangle ; \\
C_{1} & =\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}}\left\langle D^{2} V(0) x, x\right\rangle U^{2}(x) d x+\frac{K(0)^{2}}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{U^{2}(y) U^{2}(x)}{|x-y|} d y d x ; \\
C_{2} & =\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} U^{2}(x) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lemma

$$
\Phi_{\epsilon}(\xi)=C_{0}+\epsilon^{\beta} \Gamma(\xi)+o\left(\epsilon^{\beta}\right), \quad|\xi| \leq \bar{\xi}
$$

and assume that $\xi=0$ is a non-degenerate minimum (or maximum) for $\Gamma$. Then $\Phi_{\epsilon}$ has a minimum (or maximum) in some $\xi_{\epsilon}$ such that $\xi_{\epsilon} \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

In conclusion, recalling the change of variable

## Lemma

$$
\Phi_{\epsilon}(\xi)=C_{0}+\epsilon^{\beta} \Gamma(\xi)+o\left(\epsilon^{\beta}\right), \quad|\xi| \leq \bar{\xi}
$$

and assume that $\xi=0$ is a non-degenerate minimum (or maximum) for $\Gamma$. Then $\Phi_{\epsilon}$ has a minimum (or maximum) in some $\xi_{\epsilon}$ such that $\xi_{\epsilon} \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

In conclusion, recalling the change of variable

$$
v_{\epsilon}(x):=u_{\epsilon}\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \sim z_{\xi_{\epsilon}}\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)=U\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}-\xi_{\epsilon}\right),
$$

is a solution of $\left(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)$ which concentrates near the critical point 0 .

目 I. Ianni, G. V.,
On Concentration of Positive Bound States for the Schrödinger-Poisson Problem with Potentials Adv. Nonlin. Studies 8, (2008), 573-595.
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D. Ruiz, G. V.,

Cluster solutions for the Schrödinger-Poisson-Slater problem around a local minimum of the potential, to appear on Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana.

## Cluster Solutions

In a work of $X$. Kang and J. Wei, the authors consider the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$
-\epsilon^{2} \Delta u+V(x) u=|u|^{p-1} u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

proving the existence of a cluster solution around a local maximum of $V$ and non-existence of a cluster solution around a local minimum of $V$.

## Case of Schrödinger-Poisson problem

Our problem is now:
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Our problem is now:
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## Case of Schrödinger-Poisson problem

Our problem is now:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-\epsilon^{2} \Delta u+V(x) u+\phi_{u} u=|u|^{p-1}, \quad u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \\
\searrow \text { attractive term }
\end{array}
$$

## Assumptions

(V1) $V$ has a local strict minimum point in $P_{0}$, namely there exists a bounded open set $\mathcal{U}$ such that $P_{0} \in \mathcal{U}$ and

$$
V\left(P_{0}\right)=\min _{x \in \overline{\mathcal{U}}} V(x)<V(P), \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{U} \backslash\left\{P_{0}\right\}
$$

Up to a translation and dilatation, we can assume $P_{0}=0, V(0)=1$.
(V2) $V(x)=1+|g(x)|^{\alpha}$ for any $x \in \mathcal{U}$, where $g: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a $C^{2,1}$ function and $\alpha>2$.

## Assumptions

(V1) $V$ has a local strict minimum point in $P_{0}$, namely there exists a bounded open set $\mathcal{U}$ such that $P_{0} \in \mathcal{U}$ and

$$
V\left(P_{0}\right)=\min _{x \in \overline{\mathcal{U}}} V(x)<V(P), \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{U} \backslash\left\{P_{0}\right\}
$$

Up to a translation and dilatation, we can assume $P_{0}=0, V(0)=1$.
(V2) $V(x)=1+|g(x)|^{\alpha}$ for any $x \in \mathcal{U}$, where $g: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a $C^{2,1}$ function and $\alpha>2$.
In particular, there holds:
(V2') $V(x) \leq 1+C|x|^{\alpha}$ for $x \in \mathcal{U}$ and some $C>0$.

## Remark

Observe that under the above conditions the local minimum must be degenerate. We point out that conditions (V1)-(V2') are sufficient for most of our arguments. We need condition (V2) for technical reasons, to be able to rule out possible undesired oscillations of the derivatives of $V$ near 0 .

## Theorem (D. Ruiz, G. V.)

Assume that $V$ satisfies (V1) and (V2) and suppose $p \in(1,5)$. Then for any positive integer $K \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists $\epsilon_{K}>0$ such that for any $\epsilon<\epsilon_{K}$ there exists a positive solution $u_{\epsilon}$ of $\left(\mathcal{S P}^{\prime}\right)$ with $K$ bumps converging to 0 . More specifically, there exists $Q_{1}^{\epsilon}, \ldots Q_{k}^{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that:
(1) $Q_{i}^{\epsilon} \rightarrow 0, \epsilon^{-1}\left|Q_{i}^{\epsilon}\right| \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.
(2) Defining $\tilde{u}_{\epsilon}(x)=u_{\epsilon}(\epsilon x)$, we have that $\tilde{u}_{\epsilon}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{K} U\left(x-\epsilon^{-1} Q_{i}^{\epsilon}\right)+o(1)$, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

The Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction will be made, in this case, around an appropriate set of "approximating solutions".

The Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction will be made, in this case, around an appropriate set of "approximating solutions".
For any $K \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Lambda_{\epsilon}=\left\{\mathbf{P} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 K}:\left|P_{i}-P_{j}\right| \geq \epsilon^{\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha+1}+\delta}, i \neq j,\right. \\
\left.V\left(\epsilon P_{i}\right) \leq 1+\epsilon^{\frac{3 \alpha}{\alpha+1}-\delta}, \epsilon P_{i} \in \mathcal{U}\right\}
\end{array}
$$

where $\delta>0$ is chosen small enough so that $\frac{3 \alpha}{\alpha+1}-\delta>2$ (this is possible since $\alpha>2$ ). Observe that $\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha+1}+\delta<0$ and $\Lambda_{\epsilon}$ is not empty for $\epsilon$ small enough.

Fix $\mathbf{P}=\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{K}\right) \in \Lambda_{\epsilon}$. Setting $z_{P_{i}}(x)=U\left(x-P_{i}\right)$, we define the manifold of "approximate solutions":

$$
\mathcal{Z}=\left\{z_{\mathbf{P}}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{K} z_{P_{i}}(x): \quad \mathbf{P} \in \Lambda_{\epsilon}\right\} .
$$

Fix $\mathbf{P}=\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{K}\right) \in \Lambda_{\epsilon}$. Setting $z_{P_{i}}(x)=U\left(x-P_{i}\right)$, we define the manifold of "approximate solutions":

$$
\mathcal{Z}=\left\{z_{\mathbf{P}}(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{K} z_{p_{i}}(x): \quad \mathbf{P} \in \Lambda_{\epsilon}\right\} .
$$

We first prove the existence of a solution of the auxiliary equation, then we find an expansion for the reduced functional.

## The reduced functional

$$
\Phi_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{P})=C_{0}+\epsilon^{2} C_{1}+C_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{K} V\left(\epsilon P_{i}\right)+C_{3} \epsilon^{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{\left|P_{i}-P_{j}\right|}+o\left(\epsilon^{\frac{3 \alpha}{\alpha+1}-\delta}\right) \text {. (1) }
$$

## For $\in$ sufficiently small, the following minimization problem

## The reduced functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{P})=C_{0}+\epsilon^{2} C_{1}+C_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{K} V\left(\epsilon P_{i}\right)+C_{3} \epsilon^{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{\left|P_{i}-P_{j}\right|}+o\left(\epsilon^{\frac{3 \alpha}{\alpha+1}-\delta}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proposition

For $\epsilon$ sufficiently small, the following minimization problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \left\{\Phi_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{P}): \mathbf{P} \in \Lambda_{\epsilon}\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a solution $\mathbf{P}_{\epsilon} \in \Lambda_{\epsilon}$.

## Infinitely Many Solutions for Schrödinger-Poisson problem

Let us consider the problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u+u+K(x) \phi u=|u|^{p-1} u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}  \tag{SP}\\ -\Delta \phi=K(x) u^{2}, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{3},\end{cases}
$$

where $p \in(1,5)$ and $K: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-negative bounded function.
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Let us consider the problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u+u+K(x) \phi u=|u|^{p-1} u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}  \tag{SP}\\ -\Delta \phi=K(x) u^{2}, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}\end{cases}
$$

where $p \in(1,5)$ and $K: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a non-negative bounded function. We assume that $K$ is a radial function, that is $K(x)=K(|x|)=K(r)$ satisfying the following condition:
$(\mathrm{K})$ There are constants $a>0, m>\frac{3}{2}, \theta>0$ such that

$$
K(r)=\frac{a}{r^{m}}+O\left(\frac{1}{r^{m+\theta}}\right)
$$

as $r \rightarrow+\infty$.

Again, the problem $(\mathcal{S P})$ can be reduced into a single equation:

$$
-\Delta u+u+K(|x|) \phi_{u} u=|u|^{p-1} u, \quad u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)
$$

Again, the problem $(\mathcal{S P})$ can be reduced into a single equation:

$$
-\Delta u+u+K(|x|) \phi_{u} u=|u|^{p-1} u, \quad u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)
$$

## Theorem

If $K(x)$ satisfies $(K)$, then the problem $\left(\mathcal{S P}^{\prime}\right)$ has infinitely many non-radial positive solutions.

To prove the Theorem we construct solutions with large number of bumps near infinity.
approximated by using the solution $U$ of the limit problem

To prove the Theorem we construct solutions with large number of bumps near infinity. In fact, since $K(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$, the solutions of $\left(\mathcal{S P}^{\prime}\right)$ can be approximated by using the solution $U$ of the limit problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u+u=u^{p}, & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3},  \tag{3}\\ u>0, & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{3}, \\ u(x) \rightarrow 0, & \text { as }|x| \rightarrow+\infty\end{cases}
$$

## Construction

For any positive integer $k$, let us define

$$
P_{j}=\left(r \cos \frac{2(j-1) \pi}{k}, r \sin \frac{2(j-1) \pi}{k}, 0\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \quad j=1, \ldots, k
$$

with $r \in S_{k}:=\left[\left(\frac{m}{\pi}-\beta\right) k \log k,\left(\frac{m}{\pi}+\beta\right) k \log k\right]$ for some $\beta>0$ sufficiently small and

$$
z_{r}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{k} U_{P_{j}}(x)
$$

where $U_{P_{j}}(\cdot):=U\left(\cdot-P_{j}\right)$.

If $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, we set

$$
H_{s}=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) & \begin{array}{l}
u \text { is even in } x_{2}, x_{3} ; \\
u\left(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, x_{3}\right)= \\
=u\left(r \cos \left(\theta+\frac{2 \pi j}{k}\right), r \sin \left(\theta+\frac{2 \pi j}{k}\right), x_{3}\right) \\
j=1, \ldots, k-1
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

## Finally, let us define
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u \text { is even in } x_{2}, x_{3} ; \\
u\left(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, x_{3}\right)= \\
=u\left(r \cos \left(\theta+\frac{2 \pi j}{k}\right), r \sin \left(\theta+\frac{2 \pi j}{k}\right), x_{3}\right) \\
j=1, \ldots, k-1
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

We remark that if $u \in H_{s}$, then $\phi_{u} \in D_{s}$, where

$$
D_{s}=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
\phi \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) & \begin{array}{l}
\phi \text { is even in } x_{2}, x_{3} ; \\
\phi\left(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, x_{3}\right)= \\
=\phi\left(r \cos \left(\theta+\frac{2 \pi j}{k}\right), r \sin \left(\theta+\frac{2 \pi j}{k}\right), x_{3}\right) \\
j=1, \ldots, k-1
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\} ;
$$

If $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$, we set

$$
H_{s}=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
u \in H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) & \begin{array}{l}
u \text { is even in } x_{2}, x_{3} ; \\
u\left(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, x_{3}\right)= \\
=u\left(r \cos \left(\theta+\frac{2 \pi j}{k}\right), r \sin \left(\theta+\frac{2 \pi j}{k}\right), x_{3}\right) \\
j=1, \ldots, k-1
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

We remark that if $u \in H_{s}$, then $\phi_{u} \in D_{s}$, where

$$
D_{s}=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
\phi \in D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) & \begin{array}{l}
\phi \text { is even in } x_{2}, x_{3} ; \\
\phi\left(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, x_{3}\right)= \\
=\phi\left(r \cos \left(\theta+\frac{2 \pi j}{k}\right), r \sin \left(\theta+\frac{2 \pi j}{k}\right), x_{3}\right) \\
j=1, \ldots, k-1
\end{array}
\end{array}\right\} ;
$$

Finally, let us define

$$
\Omega_{j}:=\left\{x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}:\left\langle\frac{x^{\prime}}{\left|x^{\prime}\right|}, \frac{P_{j}}{\left|P_{j}\right|}\right\rangle \geq \cos \frac{\pi}{k}\right\} .
$$
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## Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction

The manifold of the approximate solutions is now given by

$$
\mathcal{Z}:=\left\{z_{r}: r \in S_{k}\right\}
$$

First we find the solution of the auxiliary equation $w$.
Then we study the remaining finite dimensional equation.
In this case the reduced functional is given by
$F(r)=k\left[C_{0}+\frac{B_{1}}{r^{2 m}}+\frac{B_{2} k \log k}{r^{2 m+1}}-B_{3} \sum_{i=2}^{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} U_{P_{1}}^{p} U_{P_{i}} d x+O\left(\frac{1}{k^{2 m+\sigma}}\right)\right]$,
The problem

$$
\max \left\{F(r): r \in S_{k}\right\}
$$

has a solution since $F$ is continuous on a compact set.
Then we show that this maximum, $r_{k}$, is an interior point of $S_{k}$.
As a consequence, we can conclude that $z_{r_{k}}+w\left(r_{k}\right)$ is a solution of $\left(\mathcal{S P ^ { \prime }}\right)$. This prove the existence of infinitely many non-trivial non radial solutions of $\left(\mathcal{S P}^{\prime}\right)$.

國 P. d'Avenia, A. Pomponio, G. V.,
Existence of infinitely many positive solutions for Schrödinegr-Poisson system, preprint.

## Existence of Ground and Bound States for (SP)

$$
-\Delta u+u+K(x) \phi_{u}(x) u=a(x)|u|^{p-1} u,
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## Existence of Ground and Bound States for (SP)

$$
-\Delta u+u+K(x) \phi_{u}(x) u=a(x)|u|^{p-1} u
$$

where $a: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
The solution of $\left(\mathcal{S P}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ are the critical points of $I \in C^{2}\left(H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ defined as

$$
I(u)=\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(x) \phi_{u}(x) u^{2} d x-\frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} a(x)|u|^{p+1} d x
$$

Dealing with I, one has to face various difficulties:
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Dealing with I, one has to face various difficulties:
a) The competing effect of the nonlocal term with the nonlinear term gives rise to very different situations as $p$ varies in the interval $(1,5)$;
b) The lack of compactness of the embedding of $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ in the Lebesgue spaces $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right), q \in(2,6)$, prevents from using the variational techniques in a standard way.

## Remark

b) can be avoided, for example, restricting $/$ to the subspace of $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ consisting of radially symmetric functions.
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Let $p \in(3,5)$.
Moreover we assume that $a(x)$ and $K(x)$ verify, respectively
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(K1) $\quad \lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} K(x)=0, \quad K(x) \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) ; \quad K(x) \geq 0$.
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A basic step in the study of $\left(\mathcal{S P}^{\prime}\right)$ is a careful investigation of the behavior of the Palais-Smale sequences for the functional $I$.
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(ii) $u_{n}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} u^{j}\left(\cdot-y_{n}^{j}\right) \longrightarrow \bar{u}$, in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$;
(iii) $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow I(\bar{u})+\sum_{j=1}^{k} I_{0}\left(u^{j}\right)$;
(iv) $u^{j}$ are non trivial weak solutions of $\left(\mathcal{P}_{\infty}\right)$.

## Corollary

Let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ be a $(P S)_{d}$ sequence. Then $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is relatively compact for all $d \in\left(0, m_{\infty}\right)$.
Moreover, if $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow m_{\infty}$, then either $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is relatively compact or the statement of previous Theorem holds with $k=1$, and $u^{1}=U(U$ ground state of $\left(\mathcal{P}_{\infty}\right)$ ).
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We denote by $S$ and $\bar{S}$ the best constants for the embedding of $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and $D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, respectively, in $L^{6}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.

## Theorem

Let (a1), (a2), (K) hold. Furthermore assume either

$$
\begin{equation*}
|K|_{2}^{2}<\frac{m_{\infty}^{\vartheta}-m_{a}^{\vartheta}}{\sigma m_{a}^{1+\vartheta}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\vartheta=\frac{p-3}{p+1}$ and $\sigma=\frac{2(p+1)}{p-1} \bar{S}^{-2} S^{-4}$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(x) \phi_{U} U^{2} d x<\frac{4}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \alpha(x)|U|^{p+1} d x \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the problem ( $\mathcal{S P ^ { \prime }}$ ) has a positive ground state solution.

Corollary
The functional I satisfies the $(P S)_{d}$ condition for all $d \in\left(m_{\infty}, 2 m_{\infty}\right)$

On the contrary when
(a3) $a(x) \leq a_{\infty} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, \quad \mathcal{A}:=\inf _{\mathbb{R}^{3}} a(x)>0$,
holds, the infimum of $I$ on $\mathcal{N}$ cannot be achieved and the existence of a solution is a more delicate question that is handled by using the notion of barycenter to build a min-max level belonging to an interval of the values of $I$ in which the compactness holds.
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Lemma
$b_{0}>m$.

We define the operator:

$$
\Gamma: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}
$$

as
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\Gamma[z](x)=t_{z} U(x-z)
$$

where $U$ is the positive solution of $\left(\mathcal{P}_{\infty}\right)$ and $t_{z}$ is chosen such that $\Gamma[z] \in \mathcal{N}$.

We define the operator:
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\Gamma: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}
$$

as

$$
\Gamma[z](x)=t_{z} U(x-z)
$$

where $U$ is the positive solution of $\left(\mathcal{P}_{\infty}\right)$ and $t_{z}$ is chosen such that $\Gamma[z] \in \mathcal{N}$.

## Lemma

$\lim _{|z| \rightarrow+\infty} I(\Gamma(z))=m_{\infty}$.

## Lemma

Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1+\eta|K|_{2}^{2}}{\mathcal{A}}<2^{\frac{p-3}{p+1}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\eta=\frac{2(p+1)}{p-1} S^{-4} \bar{S}^{-2} m_{\infty}$, hold. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\Gamma[z])<2 m_{\infty} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Theorem

Let (a1), (a3), (K) hold. Furthermore assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1+\eta|K|_{2}^{2}}{\mathcal{A}}<2^{\frac{p-3}{p+1}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold. Then the problem $\left(\mathcal{S P}^{\prime}\right)$ has (at least) one positive solution.

## Proof

By the previous Lemmas, there exists $\bar{\rho}>0$ such that for all $\rho \geq \bar{\rho}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\infty}<\max _{|z|=\rho} I(\Gamma[z])<b_{0} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to apply the Linking Theorem we take

$$
Q=\Gamma\left(\bar{B}_{\bar{\rho}}(0)\right), \quad S=\{u \in \mathcal{N}: \beta(u)=0\} .
$$

We claim that $S$ and $\partial Q$ links, that is

> a) $\partial Q \cap S=\emptyset$
> b) $h(Q) \cap S \neq \emptyset \forall h \in \mathcal{H}=\left\{h \in \mathcal{C}(Q, \mathcal{N}): h_{\left.\right|_{\partial Q}}=i d\right\}$
hold.

## Proof

(15)-a) follows at once, observing that if $u \in \partial Q$ then $u=\Gamma[\bar{z}],|\bar{z}|=\bar{\rho}$, and, by the properties of the barycenter map we get $\beta(u)=\beta(\Gamma[\bar{z}])=\bar{z}$. To verify (15)-b), let consider $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and define

$$
T: \bar{B}_{\bar{\rho}}(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}, \quad T(z)=\beta \circ h \circ \Gamma[z] .
$$

$T$ is a continuous function, and, for all $|z|=\bar{\rho}, \Gamma[z] \in \partial Q$, hence $h \circ \Gamma[z]=\Gamma[z]$ that implies $T(z)=z$. By the Brower fixed point theorem there exists $z \in B_{\bar{\rho}}(0)$ such that $T(z)=0$ and this means that $h(\Gamma[z]) \in S$. Therefore $h(Q) \cap S \neq \emptyset$.

## Proof

Now (14) can be written as $b_{0}=\inf _{S} I>\max _{\partial Q} I$. Let us define

$$
d:=\inf _{h \in \mathcal{H}} \max _{u \in Q} I(h(u))
$$

Then by (15)- b), $d \geq b_{0}>m \equiv m_{\infty}$. Moreover, taking $h=i d$ and using Lemma 3 we deduce $d<2 m_{\infty}$. Since, by Lemma 2, $(P S)$ holds in ( $m_{\infty}, 2 m_{\infty}$ ), by the Linking theorem $d$ is a critical value of $I$. This proves the existence of a non trivial solution of $\left(S P^{\prime}\right)$.
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## Schrödinger-Newton system with $p=2$

Let us consider the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+u-K(x) \phi_{u} u=a(x)|u| u, \tag{SN}
\end{equation*}
$$

assuming that
(a1) $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} a(x)=a_{\infty}>0, \quad \alpha(x):=a(x)-a_{\infty} \in L^{\frac{6}{5-p}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) ;$

$$
\mathcal{A}:=\inf _{\mathbb{R}^{3}} a(x)>0 ;
$$

(K1) $\quad \lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} K(x)=K_{\infty}>0, \quad \eta(x):=K(x)-K_{\infty} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) ;$

$$
\mathcal{K}:=\inf _{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K(x)>0 .
$$

## The problem at infinity

$$
-\Delta u+u-K_{\infty} \tilde{\phi}_{u} u=a_{\infty}|u| u, \quad\left(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{N}_{\infty}\right)
$$

## The problem at infinity

$$
-\Delta u+u-K_{\infty} \tilde{\phi}_{u} u=a_{\infty}|u| u, \quad(\mathcal{S N},
$$

## Proposition

The problem $\left(\mathcal{S N}{ }_{\infty}\right)$ has a positive radial ground state $\bar{w}$.

## The problem at infinity

$$
-\Delta u+u-K_{\infty} \tilde{\phi}_{u} u=a_{\infty}|u| u, \quad(\mathcal{S N},
$$

## Proposition

The problem ( $\mathcal{S \mathcal { N } _ { \infty }}$ ) has a positive radial ground state $\bar{w}$.
Let

$$
\bar{c}=I_{\infty}(\bar{w})=\frac{1}{2}\|\bar{w}\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} K_{\infty} \tilde{\phi}_{\bar{w}} \bar{w}^{2} d x-\frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} a_{\infty}|\bar{w}|^{3} d x
$$

## Existence of Ground States

It is clear that one can infer the existence of ground states solution for $(\mathcal{S N})$ under particular assumptions on $K(x)$ and $a(x)$.

## Existence of Ground States

It is clear that one can infer the existence of ground states solution for $(\mathcal{S N})$ under particular assumptions on $K(x)$ and $a(x)$. PROBLEM:

## Existence of Ground States

It is clear that one can infer the existence of ground states solution for $(\mathcal{S N})$ under particular assumptions on $K(x)$ and $a(x)$.
PROBLEM: Establish the existence of a bound state solution for $(\mathcal{S N})$.

## All the positive solutions of $\left(\mathcal{S N}_{\infty}\right)$ are radially symmetric

## Theorem

The positive solutions of $\left(\mathcal{S N}_{\infty}\right)$ must be radially symmetric and monotone decreasing about some fixed point.
$\square$ into an integral system by virtue of the Bessel potentials.

## All the positive solutions of $\left(\mathcal{S N}_{\infty}\right)$ are radially symmetric

## Theorem

The positive solutions of $\left(\mathcal{S N}_{\infty}\right)$ must be radially symmetric and monotone decreasing about some fixed point.

The key step to prove the Theorem is to transform the differential equation $\left(\mathcal{S N}{ }_{\infty}\right)$ into an integral system by virtue of the Bessel potentials.

## Bessel Potential

The Bessel potential $\mathcal{G}_{2}=(I d-\Delta)^{-1}$ can be seen as the inverse operator of the positive operator $I d-\Delta$ in the Sobolev space $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.
form

## Bessel Potential

The Bessel potential $\mathcal{G}_{2}=(I d-\Delta)^{-1}$ can be seen as the inverse operator of the positive operator $I d-\Delta$ in the Sobolev space $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.
For convenience, the Bessel potential is usually expressed in the convolution form

$$
\mathcal{G}_{2}(f)=g_{2} * f,
$$

in which the Bessel kernel $g_{2}$ can be determined explicitly by

$$
g_{2}(x)=\frac{1}{(4 \pi) \Gamma(1)} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\pi|x|^{2} / \delta} e^{-\delta / 4 \pi} \delta^{-1 / 2} \frac{d \delta}{\delta}
$$

Hence we can transform the differential equation $\left(\mathcal{S N}^{\prime}{ }_{\infty}\right)$ into an integral equation involving the Bessel potential $\mathcal{G}_{2}$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u & =(-\Delta+1)^{-1}\left(K_{\infty} \tilde{\phi}_{u} u+a_{\infty} u^{2}\right) \\
& =(-\Delta+1)^{-1}\left[K_{\infty}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{|x|} * u^{2}\right) u+a_{\infty} u^{2}\right] \\
& =g_{2} *\left[K_{\infty}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{|x|} * u^{2}\right) u+a_{\infty} u^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u=g_{2} *\left(K_{\infty}^{2} v u+a_{\infty} u^{2}\right)  \tag{16}\\
v=\frac{1}{|x|} * u^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The most useful fact concerning Bessel potentials is that it can be employed to characterize the Sobolev space $W^{k, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.

## By the Sobolev embedding, we obtain the estimate

The most useful fact concerning Bessel potentials is that it can be employed to characterize the Sobolev space $W^{k, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$. Indeed we have that for all $p \in(1,+\infty)$ that

$$
\mathcal{G}_{2}(f)=g_{2} * f \in W^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right), \quad \forall f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)
$$

By the Sobolev embedding, we obtain the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{G}_{2}(f)\right|_{q} \leq C_{r, s, 3}|f|_{s}, \quad \forall f \in L^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $0 \leq \frac{1}{s}-\frac{2}{3} \leq \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{s}$. The estimate (17) will be very useful in our arguments below.

## Proof

For a given real number $\lambda$, let us define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Sigma_{\lambda}:=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}: x_{1} \geq \lambda\right\}, \\
\Sigma_{\lambda}^{u}:=\left\{x \in \Sigma_{\lambda}: u_{\lambda}(x)>u(x)\right\} \\
\Sigma_{\lambda}^{v}:=\left\{x \in \Sigma_{\lambda}: v_{\lambda}(x)>v(x)\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and we denote by $x^{\lambda}=\left(2 \lambda-x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ the reflected point with respect to the plane $\left\{x_{1}=\lambda\right\}$ and denote $u_{\lambda}(x)=u\left(x^{\lambda}\right)$ and $v_{\lambda}(x)=v\left(x^{\lambda}\right)$.

Decomposition of $u_{\lambda}-u$ and of $v_{\lambda}-v$
For any positive solution of $(\mathcal{S N} \infty)$, we have for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{\lambda}(x)-u(x)= & \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda}}\left(g_{2}(x-y)-g_{2}\left(x^{\lambda}-y\right)\right)\left[K_{\infty}^{2}\left(v_{\lambda} u_{\lambda}-v u\right)\right] d y \\
& +\int_{\Sigma_{\lambda}}\left(g_{2}(x-y)-g_{2}\left(x^{\lambda}-y\right)\right)\left[a_{\infty}\left(u_{\lambda}^{2}-u^{2}\right)\right] d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Decomposition of $u_{\lambda}-u$ and of $v_{\lambda}-v$
For any positive solution of $(\mathcal{S N}, \infty)$, we have for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{\lambda}(x)-u(x)= & \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda}}\left(g_{2}(x-y)-g_{2}\left(x^{\lambda}-y\right)\right)\left[K_{\infty}^{2}\left(v_{\lambda} u_{\lambda}-v u\right)\right] d y \\
& +\int_{\Sigma_{\lambda}}\left(g_{2}(x-y)-g_{2}\left(x^{\lambda}-y\right)\right)\left[a_{\infty}\left(u_{\lambda}^{2}-u^{2}\right)\right] d y \\
v_{\lambda}(x)-v(x)= & \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda}}\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}-\frac{1}{\left|x^{\lambda}-y\right|}\right)\left(u_{\lambda}^{2}(y)-u^{2}(y)\right) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof

Step 1: By using the decomposition of $u_{\lambda}-u$ we find for all $x \in \Sigma_{\lambda}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|u_{\lambda}-u\right|_{2, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{u}} \leq & \bar{C}_{1} \cdot\left|v_{\lambda}\right|_{6, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{u}} \cdot\left|u_{\lambda}-u\right|_{2, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{u}}+\bar{C}_{2} \cdot|u|_{2, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{\nu}} \cdot\left|v_{\lambda}-v\right|_{6, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{\nu}} \\
& +\bar{C}_{3} \cdot\left|u_{\lambda}\right|_{6, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{u}} \cdot\left|u_{\lambda}-u\right|_{2, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{u}} . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

## Proof

Step 1: By using the decomposition of $u_{\lambda}-u$ we find for all $x \in \Sigma_{\lambda}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|u_{\lambda}-u\right|_{2, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{u}} \leq & \bar{C}_{1} \cdot\left|v_{\lambda}\right|_{6, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{u}} \cdot\left|u_{\lambda}-u\right|_{2, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{\mu}}+\bar{C}_{2} \cdot|u|_{2, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{\nu}} \cdot\left|v_{\lambda}-v\right|_{6, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{\nu}} \\
& +\bar{C}_{3} \cdot\left|u_{\lambda}\right|_{6, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{u}} \cdot\left|u_{\lambda}-u\right|_{2, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{u}} . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, from the decomposition of $v_{\lambda}-v$, we obtain, for all $x \in \Sigma_{\lambda}$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\lambda}(x)-v(x) \leq 2 \int_{\Sigma_{\lambda}^{u}} \frac{1}{|x-y|} u_{\lambda}(y)\left(u_{\lambda}(y)-u(y)\right) d y . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, we deduce from (19) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|v_{\lambda}-v\right|_{6, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{v}} \leq C_{4}\left|u_{\lambda}\left(u_{\lambda}-u\right)\right|_{\frac{6}{5}, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{v}} \leq \bar{C}_{4}\left|u_{\lambda}\right|_{3, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{v}} \cdot\left|u_{\lambda}-u\right|_{2, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{v}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof

Step 2: We show that for sufficient negative values of $\lambda$, the set $\Sigma_{\lambda}^{u}$ and $\Sigma_{\lambda}^{v}$ must be empty. In fact, the estimates above imply

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|u_{\lambda}-u\right|_{2, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{u}} \leq & \bar{C}_{1} \cdot\left|v_{\lambda}\right|_{6, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{u}} \cdot\left|u_{\lambda}-u\right|_{2, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{u}}+\bar{C}_{5} \cdot|u|_{2, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{\nu}} \cdot\left|u_{\lambda}\right|_{3, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{\nu}} \cdot\left|u_{\lambda}-u\right|_{2} \\
& +\bar{C}_{3} \cdot\left|u_{\lambda}\right|_{6, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{u}} \cdot\left|u_{\lambda}-u\right|_{2, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{u}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can choose $N$ sufficiently large such that for $\lambda \leq-N$, we have

$$
\bar{C}_{1}\left|v_{\lambda}\right|_{6, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{u}} \leq \frac{1}{6}, \quad \bar{C}_{5} \cdot|u|_{2, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{\nu}} \cdot\left|u_{\lambda}\right|_{3, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{\nu}} \leq \frac{1}{6}, \quad \bar{C}_{3}\left|u_{\lambda}\right|_{6, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{u}} \leq \frac{1}{6},
$$

which implies that

$$
\left|u_{\lambda}-u\right|_{2, \Sigma_{\lambda}^{u}}=0
$$

and therefore $\Sigma_{\lambda}^{u}$ must be measure zero and hence empty.
Then also $\Sigma_{\lambda}^{v}=\emptyset$.

## Proof

Step 3: Now we have that for $\lambda \leq-N$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x) \geq u_{\lambda}(x), \quad \forall x \in \Sigma_{\lambda} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we can start moving the plane $\left\{x_{1}=\lambda\right\}$ continuously from $\lambda \leq-N$ to the right as long as (21) holds. Suppose that at a $\lambda_{0}$ we have $u \geq u_{\lambda_{0}}$ on $\Sigma_{\lambda_{0}}$, but $u \not \equiv u_{\lambda_{0}}$ on $\Sigma_{\lambda_{0}}$, we will show that the plane can be moved further to the right.

## Proof

Step 3: Now we have that for $\lambda \leq-N$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x) \geq u_{\lambda}(x), \quad \forall x \in \Sigma_{\lambda} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we can start moving the plane $\left\{x_{1}=\lambda\right\}$ continuously from $\lambda \leq-N$ to the right as long as (21) holds. Suppose that at a $\lambda_{0}$ we have $u \geq u_{\lambda_{0}}$ on $\Sigma_{\lambda_{0}}$, but $u \not \equiv u_{\lambda_{0}}$ on $\Sigma_{\lambda_{0}}$, we will show that the plane can be moved further to the right.
More precisely, we prove that there exists an $\epsilon$ depending on the solution $u$ itself such that $u \geq u_{\lambda}$ on $\Sigma_{\lambda}$ for all $\lambda$ in $\left[\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{0}+\epsilon\right.$ ).

## Proof

By using the decomposition of $u_{\lambda}-u$ and of $v_{\lambda}-v$ and moreover by using the estimates of their norm, we prove that when moving plane process stops, we must have $u \equiv u_{\lambda_{0}}$, and $u_{\lambda} \leq u$ on $\Sigma_{\lambda}$ when $\lambda<\lambda_{0}$. By a translation, we may assume that $u(0)=\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}} u(x)$. Then it follows that the moving plane process from any direction must stop at the origin. Hence $u$ must be radially symmetric and monotone decreasing in the radial direction.

## Uniqueness of the radial solution of $\left(\mathcal{S N}{ }_{\infty}\right)$

Let us first recall the following theorem known as Newton's Theorem.

## Theorem

For any radial function $\rho=\rho(|x|) \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3},(1+|x|)^{-1} d x\right)$, we have

$$
\left(|x|^{-1} * \rho\right)(r)=V(\rho)-F_{\rho}(r)
$$

where

$$
V(\rho)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{\rho(|x|)}{|x|} d x, \quad F_{\rho}(r)=4 \pi \int_{0}^{r} s\left(1-\frac{s}{r}\right) \rho(s) d s
$$

Since all positive solutions of $\left(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{N}_{\infty}\right)$ are radial, we have to show the uniqueness of the radial solution of $\left(\mathcal{S N} \mathcal{N}_{\infty}\right)$. By using Newton Theorem, $\left(\mathcal{S N}_{\infty}\right)$ can be transformed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+K_{\infty}^{2} F_{u^{2}} u-a_{\infty} u^{2}=\mu u \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu:=K_{\infty}^{2} V\left(u^{2}\right)-1$. It is possible to show that $\mu>0$. We set

$$
A(u):=K_{\infty}^{2} F_{u^{2}}-a_{\infty} u
$$

then (22) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+A(u) u=\mu u . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proposition

The problem (23) has a unique radial positive solution provided $\frac{a_{\infty}}{K_{\infty}^{2}}$ is sufficiently small.

## Non-degeneracy condition

## Theorem

Let $(v, \psi) \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right) \times H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ be a solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta v-v+K_{\infty} \tilde{\phi}_{w} v+K_{\infty} w \psi+2 a_{\infty} w v=0  \tag{24}\\
\Delta \psi+K_{\infty} v w=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left(w, \tilde{\phi}_{w}\right)$ is the solution of $\left(\mathcal{S N} \mathcal{N}_{\infty}\right)$. Then

$$
(v, \psi) \in \operatorname{span}\left\{\frac{\partial\left(w, \tilde{\phi}_{w}\right)}{\partial x_{j}} ; j=1,2,3\right\} .
$$

## Remark

Suppose that $v \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ satisfies the following problem

$$
\Delta v-v+K_{\infty} \tilde{\phi}_{w} v+K_{\infty} w \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{K_{\infty} v(y) w(y)}{|x-y|} d y+2 a_{\infty} w v=0
$$

then by Theorem 10 it follows that

$$
v \in \operatorname{span}\left\{\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_{j}}: j=1,2,3 .\right\}
$$

## Theorem

Let (a1)-(K1) and
(H) $K(x) \leq K_{\infty} ; a(x) \leq a_{\infty}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $a_{\infty}-a(x)>0$ on a positive measure set;
hold. Then there exists (at least) one positive bound state solution of $(\mathcal{S N})$ provided $\frac{\max \left\{K_{\infty}^{2}, a_{\infty}\right\}}{\min \left\{\mathcal{K}^{2}, \mathcal{A}\right\}}$ is sufficiently small.
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